

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/612,055	CHOI, MYUNG-RYUL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan Danielsen	2627

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Nathan Danielsen.

(3) _____

(2) Paul Daehler.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 April 2007

Time: 4:30 PM EDT

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

20, 34, 36, and 38

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent Application Publication 2002/0075591 to Chang et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached that a new Office Action should be sent out as opposed to amendments to, or cancellation of, the claims in view of the reference to Chang et al.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)